arXiv:1605.07111v1 [math.AG] 23 May 2016

The descent of twisted perfect complexes on a space with soft structure sheaf

Zhaoting Wei*

Department of Mathematics, Indiana University
831 E 3rd St, Bloomington, IN 47405, USA

May 24, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we study the dg-category of twisted perfect complexes on a ringed space with soft structure sheaf. We prove that this dg-category is quasi-equivalent to the dg-category of complexes of vector bundles on that space. This result could be considered as a dg-enhancement of the classic result on soft sheaves in SGA6.

1 Introduction

The derived categories of perfect complexes on ringed topoi were introduced in SGA 6 [BGI66] in the 1960's. They have played an important role in mathematics ever since.

It is well-known that on a projective scheme X, any perfect complex of sheaves has a global resolution, i.e. it is quasi-isomorphism to a bounded complex of vector bundles. On the other hand, people also know that such resolutions do not exist on general ringed spaces $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$. Nevertheless, if the structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}_X$ is *soft*, global resolution for a perfect complex always exists. More precisely we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([BGI66], Exposé II, Proposition 2.3.2, See also [Blo10] Proposition 4.2)**.** *Suppose $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ is a ringed space, where X is compact and $\mathcal{A}_X$ is a soft sheaf of rings. Then*

1. *The global sections functor*

$$\Gamma : Mod - \mathcal{A}_X \to Mod - \mathcal{A}_X(X)$$

   is exact and establishes and equivalence of categories between the category of sheaves of right $\mathcal{A}_X$-modules and the category of right modules over the global sections $\mathcal{A}_X(X)$.

2. *If $S \in Mod - \mathcal{A}_X$ locally has finite resolutions by finitely generated free $\mathcal{A}_X$-modules, then $\Gamma(X, S)$ has a finite resolution by finitely generated projectives.*

3. *The derived category of perfect complexes of sheaves $D_{perf}(Mod - \mathcal{A}_X)$ is equivalent to the derived category of perfect complexes of modules $D_{perf}(Mod - \mathcal{A}_X(X))$.*

*Email: zhaotwei@indiana.edu

On the other hand, Toledo and Tong [TT78] introduced *twisted complexes* in the 1970's as a way to obtain global resolutions of perfect complexes of sheaves on a complex manifold. In 2015 Wei proved in [Wei16] that the dg-category of twisted perfect complexes give a dg-enhancement of the derived category of perfect complexes.

Theorem 1.2 ([Wei16], Theorem 3.32)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ be a ringed space with soft structure ring and $\{U_i\}$ be any open cover of X. Let us denote the twisted perfect complex on the ringed space $(X, \mathcal{A}_X)$ which subject to the open cover $\{U_i\}$ by $Tw_{perf}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i)$. Then $Tw_{perf}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i)$ is a dg-enhancement of $D_{perf}(Mod - \mathcal{A}_X)$.*

A detailed discussion of twisted complexes will be given in Section 2.

In this paper we study twisted perfect complexes on a ringed space with soft structure sheaf and we want to achieve a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to the dg-world. Let us denote the dg-category of bounded complexes of global sections of finitely generated locally free sheaves on X by $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ and it is easy to show that $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ is an dg-enhancement of $D_{\text{perf}}(\text{Mod} - \mathcal{A}_X(X))$. In conclusion we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i) & & \mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A}_X) \\ \big\downarrow \text{dg-enhance} & & \big\downarrow \text{dg-enhance} \\ D_{\text{perf}}(\text{Mod} - \mathcal{A}_X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & D_{\text{perf}}(\text{Mod} - \mathcal{A}_X(X)). \end{array}$$

Hence we expect that $\text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i)$ and $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ are quasi-equivalent too. Actually this is the mean result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3 (See Theorem 3.1 below)**.** *Let X is a paracompact space with a soft structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover if the open cover U_i is finite and good, then the dg-category $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ is quasi-equivalent to the dg-category of twisted complexes $Tw_{perf}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i)$.*

We would like to discuss the significance of Theorem 3.1 in descent theory. For an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X, we consider the locally free sheaves on the Čech nerve and get the following cosimplicial diagram of dg-categories

$$\prod \mathfrak{L}(U_i) \longrightarrow \prod \mathfrak{L}(U_i \cap U_j) \longrightarrow \prod \mathfrak{L}(U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{1}$$

The descent data of $\mathfrak{L}(U_i)$ is given by the *homotopy limit* of Diagram (1). Recently in [BHW15] it has been proved that $\text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i)$ is quasi-equivalent to the homotopy limit of (1). Hence the result in Theorem 3.1 can be reinterpreted as

Theorem 1.4 (See Theorem 4.2 below)**.** *Let X is a paracompact space with a soft structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover if the open cover U_i is finite and good, then we have a quasi-equivalence between dg-categories*

$$\mathfrak{L}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} holim_I \mathfrak{L}(U_I)$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we quickly review the theory of twisted complexes. In Section 3 we prove that $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ is quasi-equivalent to $\text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i)$. In more details, in Section 3.1 we define the dg-functor $\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A}) \to \text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}_X, U_i)$, in Section 3.2 we prove $\mathcal{T}$ is quasi-essentially surjective and in section 3.3 we prove $\mathcal{T}$ is quasi-fully faithful. In Section 4 we discuss the relation between twisted perfect complexes and descent theory.

In Appendix A we collect some general results on soft sheaves which are necessary in this paper.

2 A quick review of twisted perfect complexes

We give a brief review of twisted perfect complexes in this subsection, for reference see [OTT81] Section 1 or [Wei16] Section 2.

Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a ringed paracompact topological space and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be an locally finite open cover of X. Let $U_{i_0 \dots i_n}$ denote the intersection $U_{i_0} \bigcap \dots \bigcap U_{i_n}$.

For each U_{i_k}, let $E^\bullet_{i_k} = \{E^r_{i_k}\}_{r \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be a two-side bounded graded sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on U_{i_k}. Let

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, E^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{p,q} C^p(\mathcal{U}, E^q)$$

be the bigraded complexes of $E^\bullet$. More precisely, an element $c^{p,q}$ of $C^p(\mathcal{U}, E^q)$ consists of a section $c^{p,q}_{i_0 \dots i_p}$ of $E^q_{i_0}$ over each non-empty intersection $U_{i_0 \dots i_n}$. If $U_{i_0 \dots i_p} = \emptyset$, simply let the component on it be zero.

Now if another two-side bounded graded sheaf $F^\bullet_{i_k}$ of $\mathcal{A}$-modules is given on each U_{i_k}, then we can consider the map

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, F)) = \bigoplus_{p,q} C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^q(E, F)). \tag{2}$$

An element $u^{p,q}$ of $C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^q(E, F))$ gives a section $u^{p,q}_{i_0 \dots i_p}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}^q_{\mathcal{A}-\mathrm{Mod}}(E_{i_p}, F_{i_0})$ over each non-empty intersection $U_{i_0 \dots i_n}$. Notice that we require $u^{p,q}$ to be a map from the E on the last subscript of $U_{i_0 \dots i_n}$ to the F on the first subscript of $U_{i_0 \dots i_n}$.

We need to study the compositions of $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, F))$. Let $\{G^\bullet_{i_k}\}$ be a third two-side bounded graded sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules. There is a composition map

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(F, G)) \times C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, F)) \to C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, G)).$$

In fact, for $u^{p,q} \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^q(F, G))$ and $v^{r,s} \in C^r(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^s(E, F))$, their composition $(u \cdot v)^{p+r,q+s}$ is given by (see [OTT81] Equation (1.1))

$$(u \cdot v)^{p+r,q+s}_{i_0 \dots i_{p+r}} = (-1)^{qr} u^{p,q}_{i_0 \dots i_p} v^{r,s}_{i_p \dots i_{p+r}} \tag{3}$$

where the right hand side is the composition of sheaf maps.

In particular $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, E))$ becomes an associative algebra under this composition (It is easy but tedious to check the associativity). We also notice that $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, E^\bullet)$ becomes a left module over this algebra. In fact the action

$$C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, E)) \times C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, E^\bullet) \to C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, E^\bullet)$$

is given by $(u^{p,q}, c^{r,s}) \mapsto (u \cdot c)^{p+r,q+s}$ where (see [OTT81] Equation (1.2))

$$(u \cdot c)^{p+r,q+s}_{i_0 \dots i_{p+r}} = (-1)^{qr} u^{p,q}_{i_0 \dots i_p} c^{r,s}_{i_p \dots i_{p+r}} \tag{4}$$

where the right hand side is given by evaluation.

There is also a Čech-style differential operator δ on $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, F))$ and $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, E^\bullet)$ of bidegree $(1, 0)$ given by the formula

$$(\delta u)^{p+1,q}_{i_0 \dots i_{p+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} (-1)^k u^{p,q}_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_{p+1}} |_{U_{i_0 \cdots i_{p+1}}} \text{ for } u^{p,q} \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^q(E, F)) \tag{5}$$

and

$$(\delta c)^{p+1,q}_{i_0 \dots i_{p+1}} = \sum_{k=1}^{p+1} (-1)^k c^{p,q}_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_{p+1}} |_{U_{i_0 \cdots i_{p+1}}} \text{ for } c^{p,q} \in C^p(\mathcal{U}, E). \tag{6}$$

It is not difficult to check that the Čech differential satisfies the Leibniz rule.

Now we can introduce the definition of twisted perfect complex.

Definition 2.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a ringed paracompact topological space and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be an locally finite open cover of X. A *twisted perfect complex* consists of locally free graded sheaves $E_i^\bullet$ of $\mathcal{A}$-modules together with a collection of morphisms

$$a = \sum_{k \geq 0} a^{k,1-k}$$

where $a^{k,1-k} \in C^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^{1-k}(E, E))$ and which satisfies the equation

$$\delta a + a \cdot a = 0. \tag{7}$$

More explicitly, for $k \geq 0$

$$\delta a^{k-1,2-k} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} a^{i,1-i} \cdot a^{k-i,1-k+i} = 0. \tag{8}$$

The twisted perfect complexes on $(X, \mathcal{A}, \{U_i\})$ form a dg-category: the objects are the twisted perfect complexes $(E_i^\bullet, a)$ and the morphism from $\mathcal{E} = (E_i^\bullet, a)$ to $\mathcal{F} = (F_i^\bullet, b)$ are $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(E, F))$ with the total degree. Moreover, the differential on a morphism ϕ is given by

$$d\phi = \delta\phi + b \cdot \phi - (-1)^{|\phi|} \phi \cdot a. \tag{9}$$

We denote the dg-category of twisted complexes on $(X, \mathcal{A}, \{U_i\})$ by $\mathrm{Tw}_{\mathrm{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$. If there is no danger of confusion we can simply denote it by $\mathrm{Tw}_{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$.

Remark 1. Twisted perfect complex is called *twisted cochain* in [OTT81]. We use the terminology "twisted perfect complex" to emphasize that each $E_i^\bullet$ is a strictly perfect complex.

In [Wei16] Section 2.5 it has been shown that $\mathrm{Tw}_{\mathrm{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ has a pre-triangulated structure hence $\mathrm{HoTw}_{\mathrm{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ is a triangulated category. In more details we have the following definitions.

Definition 2.2. [Shift] Let $\mathcal{E} = (E_i^\bullet, a)$ be a twisted perfect complex. We define its shift $\mathcal{E}[1]$ to be $\mathcal{E}[1] = (E[1]_i^\bullet, a[1])$ where

$$E[1]_i^\bullet = E_i^{\bullet+1} \text{ and } a[1]^{k,1-k} = (-1)^{k-1} a^{k,1-k}.$$

Moreover, let $\phi : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ be a morphism. We define its shift $\phi[1]$ as

$$\phi[1]^{p,q} = (-1)^q \phi^{p,q}.$$

Definition 2.3. [Mapping cone] Let $\phi^{\bullet,-\bullet}$ be a closed degree zero map between twisted perfect complexes $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, a^{\bullet,1-\bullet})$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F^\bullet, b^{\bullet,1-\bullet})$, we can define the *mapping cone* $\mathcal{G} = (G, c)$ of ϕ as follows (see [OTT85] Section 1.1):

$$G_i^n := E_i^{n+1} \oplus F_i^n$$

and

$$c_{i_0 \ldots i_k}^{k,1-k} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{k-1} a_{i_0 \ldots i_k}^{k,1-k} & 0 \\ (-1)^k \phi_{i_0 \ldots i_k}^{k,-k} & b_{i_0 \ldots i_k}^{k,1-k} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

We have some further results on the morphisms between twisted perfect complexes.

Proposition 2.1 ([Wei16] Proposition 2.31)**.** *Let the cover $\{U_i\}$ satisfies $H^k(U_i, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any i, any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U_i and any $k \geq 1$. If $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are both in the subcategory $\mathrm{Tw}_{perf}(X)$, then a closed degree zero morphism ϕ between twisted complexes $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is invertible in the homotopy category $\mathrm{HoTw}_{perf}(X)$ if and only if its $(0,0)$ component*

$$\phi_i^{0,0} : (E_i^\bullet, a_i^{0,1}) \to (F_i^\bullet, b_i^{0,1})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on U_i for each i. In this case we call ϕ a weak equivalence *between $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. See [Wei16] Proposition 2.31. □

As we mentioned before, the dg-category of twisted perfect complexes gives a dg-enhancement of $D_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}-\text{mod})$. To state our theorem we first introduce the concept of p-good cover.

Definition 2.4. A locally ringed space $(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ is called *p-good* if it satisfies the following two conditions

1. For every perfect complex $\mathcal{P}^\bullet$ on U, there exists a strictly perfect complex $\mathcal{E}^\bullet$ on U together with a quasi-isomorphism $u : \mathcal{E}^\bullet \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}^\bullet$.
2. The higher cohomologies of any sheaf vanish, i.e. $H^k(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U and any $k \geq 1$.

An open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X is called a *p-good cover* if $(U_I, \mathcal{O}_X|_{U_I})$ is a p-good space for any finite intersection U_I of the open cover.

Theorem 2.2 ([Wei16] Theorem 3.32)**.** *For a p-good cover $\{U_i\}$ of X we have an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{S} : Ho\mathit{Tw}_{\mathit{perf}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\mathit{perf}}(\mathcal{A}-\mathit{mod}). \tag{11}$$

In other words, $\mathit{Tw}_{\mathit{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ gives a dg-enhancement of $D_{\mathit{perf}}(\mathcal{A}-\mathit{mod})$.

Remark 2. Actually if the structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is soft, it is easy to show that any open cover $\{U_i\}$ is p-good hence $\text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ gives a dg-enhancement of $D_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}-\text{mod})$.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is soft, we can even take $\{U_i\}$ to be one single open set X and Theorem 2.2 still holds. In this case $\text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ reduces to $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$, the dg-category of bounded complexes of global sections of locally free finitely generated sheaves on X, and we see that $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ is a dg-enhancement of $D_{\text{perf}}(\mathcal{A}-\text{mod})$.

3 The descent of twisted perfect complexes

3.1 The twisting functor $\mathcal{T}$

In this subsection we state the main theorem in this paper, i.e. $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ is quasi-equivalent to $\text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$.

First we define a natural dg-functor from $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})$ to $\text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ as follows

Definition 3.1 ([Wei16] Definition 3.11)**.** Let $(S^\bullet, d)$ be a complex of $\mathcal{A}(X)$-modules. We define its associated twisted perfect complex, $\mathcal{T}(S)$, by restricting to the U_i's. In more details let $(E^\bullet, a) = \mathcal{T}(S)$ then

$$E_i^n = S^n|_{U_i}$$

and

$$a_i^{0,1} = d|_{U_i},\ a_{ij}^{1,0} = id \text{ and } a^{k,1-k} = 0 \text{ for } k \geq 2.$$

The $\mathcal{T}$ of morphisms is defined in a similar way.

We call the dg-functor $\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A}) \to \text{Tw}_{\text{perf}}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ the *twisting functor*.

We want to prove that the $\mathcal{T}$ is actually a quasi-equivalence between dg-categories. First we need some condition on the open cover $\{U_i\}$.

Definition 3.2. A open cover U_i of X is good if any finite intersection $U_{i_0 \ldots i_k}$ is contractible.

It is well-known that good open cover exists for a wide ranged of spaces X. With Definition 3.2 we could state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X is a paracompact space with a* soft *structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover if the open cover U_i is finite and good, then the dg-functor $\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A}) \to Tw_{perf}(X, \mathcal{A}, U_i)$ is a quasi-equivalence.*

From now on we always assume that the structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is soft. We leave the discussion of the properties of soft sheaves in Appendix A.

3.2 The quasi-essential surjectivity of $\mathcal{T}$

First we prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is quasi-essentially surjective.

Proposition 3.2 (Quasi-essential surjectivity)**.** *Let X is a paracompact space with a soft structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. If the open cover $\{U_i\}$ is finite and good, then for every twisted perfect complex $\mathcal{F} = (F_i, b)$, there is a bounded complex of finitely generated locally free $\mathcal{A}$-modules $\mathcal{E} = (E^\bullet, d)$ such that $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{E})$ in the homotopy category HoTw(X).*

In more details, there exists a twisted complex $E = (E^\bullet, a)$ such that $a^{0,1} = d$, $a^{1,0} = id$ and $a^{k,1-k} = 0$ for all $k \geq 2$ together with a degree zero morphism $\phi : E \to F$ such that for all $k \geq 0$ and all $U_{i_0 \dots i_k}$ we have a $\mathcal{A}$-module map

$$\phi^{k,-k}_{i_0 \dots i_k} : E^\bullet|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}} \to F^{\bullet - k}_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}}$$

which satisfies the following two conditions

1. *The $\phi^{k,-k}$'s intertwine a and b:*

$$(\delta\phi^{k-1,1-k})_{i_0 \dots i_k} + \sum_{p=0}^{k} b^{p,1-p}_{i_0 \dots i_p} \phi^{k-p,p-k}_{i_p \dots i_k} - \phi^{k,-k}_{i_0 \dots i_k} a^{0,1}_{i_k} - \phi^{k-1,1-k}_{i_0 \dots i_{k-1}}|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}} = 0. \tag{12}$$

2. *ϕ is invertible in the homotopy category HoTw(X). According to Proposition 2.1, this is the same to say that for each i, the map $\phi^{0,0}_i$ induces a quasi-isomorphism from $(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}_i)$ to $(F^\bullet_i, b^{0,1}_i)$.*

The rest of this subsection devotes to the proof of Proposition 3.2. First we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 3.3. Let $P_i,\ Q_i$ be sheaves of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on U_i. A *descent data of P_i modulo Q_i* consists of the following data

- a collection of $\mathcal{A}$-module maps
$$\tau_i : Q_i \to P_i;$$
- a collection of $\mathcal{A}$-module maps
$$\theta_{ji} : P_i|_{U_{ji}} \to P_j|_{U_{ji}};$$
- a collection of $\mathcal{A}$-module maps
$$\vartheta_{kji} : P_i|_{U_{kji}} \to Q_k|_{U_{kji}};$$

which satisfy the following conditions

1. θ_{ji} is a cocycle module Q_i, more precisely

$$\theta_{ki} - \theta_{kj}\theta_{ji} = \tau_k \vartheta_{kji}; \tag{13}$$

2. $\theta_{ii} = id_{P_i}$.

Definition 3.4. Let $P_i,\ Q_i$ be sheaves of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on U_i. A *descent of P_i to X modulo Q_i* consists of a sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules R on X together with the following data

- a collection of $\mathcal{A}$-module maps
$$\tau_i : Q_i \to P_i;$$
- a collection of $\mathcal{A}$-module maps
$$\psi_i : R|_{U_i} \to P_i$$
- a collection of $\mathcal{A}$-module maps
$$\xi_{ji} : R|_{U_{ji}} \to Q_j|_{U_{ji}};$$

which satisfy the following conditions

1. On U_{ji} the maps ψ_i and ψ_j are compatible with the transition function θ_{ji} modulo Q_i, i.e.
$$\psi_j - \theta_{ji}\psi_i = \tau_j \xi_{ji}$$
2. Each of the ψ_i is surjective modulo Q_i in the following sense: For any point $x \in U_i$ and u_i in the fiber $P_i|_x$, there exist an $v \in R|_x$ and a $w_i \in Q_i|_x$, such that
$$u_i = \psi_i(v) - \tau_i(w_i).$$

We have the following lemma on the existence of descent modulo Q_i.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P_i,\ Q_i$ be locally free finitely generated sheaves of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on U_i with a descent data of P_i modulo Q_i. Then there exists a locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules R on X which is a descent of P_i to X modulo Q_i.*

Proof. First we construct the sheaf R. By Lemma A.3 we can extend each P_i from U_i to a locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on X. Let's denote the extension by $\widetilde{P_i}$. By Proposition A.1, $\widetilde{P_i}$ is still fine. Then we define

$$R = \bigoplus_i \widetilde{P_i}$$

as a sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-module on X. Since the cover U_i is finite, R is still finitely generated.

Next we construct the maps $\psi_i : R|_{U_i} \to P_i$. By the definition of R, a section r of R is of the form

$$r = (\widetilde{p_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{p_n})$$

where the $\widetilde{p_j}$'s are sections of $\widetilde{P_j}$. Then $\widetilde{p_j}|_{U_j}$ is a section of P_j. The naive way to define ψ_i is to apply the transition functions θ_{ij} directly on $\widetilde{p_j}$ and sum them up. The problem is that $\theta_{ij}\widetilde{p_j}$ is defined only on U_{ij} instead of U_i hence we cannot get a well-defined map

To solve this problem we use Lemma A.2 to obtain a partition of unity $\{\rho_j\}$ and we notice that $\theta_{ij}(\rho_j \widetilde{p_j}) = \rho_j \theta_{ij}(\widetilde{p_j})$ can be extended by 0 from U_{ij} to U_i. Then we define ψ_i by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i : R|_{U_i} &\to P_i \\ (\widetilde{p_1}, \ldots, \widetilde{p_n}) &\mapsto \sum_j \theta_{ij}(\rho_j \widetilde{p_j}). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It is obvious that ψ_i is a smooth bundle map. Now we need to prove that ψ_i has the required properties.

First we prove that ψ_i is surjective modulo Q_i. For any point $x \in U_i$ and u_i in the fiber $P_i|_x$ we just define the vectors $v_j \in P_j|_x$ as

$$v_j = \begin{cases} \theta_{ji}(u_i) & \text{if } x \in U_j \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin U_j \end{cases}$$

and $v \in R|_x$ as $v = (v_1, \dots v_n)$. Then

$$\psi_i(v) = \sum_j \rho_j \theta_{ij}(v_j) = \sum_j \rho_j \theta_{ij}\theta_{ji}(u_i).$$

Now we use the "cocycle modulo Q_i" condition on θ_{ij}'s. By Equation (13) we know that

$$\theta_{ij}\theta_{ji} = \theta_{ii} - \tau_i \vartheta_{iji} = id - \tau_i \vartheta_{iji},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(v) =& \sum_j \rho_j u_i - \sum_j \rho_j \tau_i \vartheta_{iji}(u_i) \\ =& u_i - \tau_i(\sum_j \rho_j \vartheta_{iji}(u_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Take $w_i = \sum_j \rho_j \vartheta_{iji}(u_i) \in Q_i|_x$ we have proved the surjectivity of ψ_i.

The proof of the compatibility of ψ_i and ψ_j is similar. We define the map $\xi_{ji} : R|_{U_{ji}} \to Q_j|_{U_{ji}}$ as follows: for any $r = (\widetilde{p_1}, \dots, \widetilde{p_n})$ a section of $R|_{U_{ji}}$ define

$$\xi_{ji}(\widetilde{p_1}, \dots, \widetilde{p_n}) := \sum_k \vartheta_{jik}(\rho_k \widetilde{p_k}) = \sum_k \rho_k \vartheta_{jik}(\widetilde{p_k}).$$

Then

$$\theta_{ji}\psi_i(\widetilde{p_1}, \dots, \widetilde{p_n}) = \theta_{ji} \sum_k \rho_k \theta_{ik} \widetilde{p_k} = \sum_k \rho_k \theta_{ji}\theta_{ik} \widetilde{p_k}.$$

Again by Equation (13) we have

$$\theta_{ji}\theta_{ik} = \theta_{jk} - \tau_j \vartheta_{jik}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{ji}\psi_i(\widetilde{p_1}, \dots, \widetilde{p_n}) =& \sum_k \rho_k(\theta_{jk}\widetilde{p_k} - \tau_j \vartheta_{jik}\widetilde{p_k}) \\ =& \sum_k \rho_k \theta_{jk}\widetilde{p_k} - \sum_k \rho_k \tau_j \vartheta_{jik}\widetilde{p_k} \\ =& \sum_k \rho_k \theta_{jk}\widetilde{p_k} - \tau_j(\sum_k \rho_k \vartheta_{jik}\widetilde{p_k}) \\ =& \psi_j(\widetilde{p_1}, \dots, \widetilde{p_n}) - \tau_j \xi_{ji}(\widetilde{p_1}, \dots, \widetilde{p_n}). \end{aligned}$$

hence we get the compatibility of ψ_i and ψ_j . □

Remark 3. Lemma 3.3 requires X to be compact. More precisely it requires the cover U_i to be finite. The sheaf R constructed in the proof has a very large rank over $\mathcal{A}$ but it is still locally free and finitely generated.

To prove Proposition 3.2, we need the following lemma, which is a variation of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(F_i^\bullet, b)$ be a twisted perfect complex for U_i. If the number l has the property that for any $n > l$ and any i, the cohomology $H^n(F_i^\bullet, b_i^{0,1})$ vanishes, then there exists a locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules E^l on X together with $\mathcal{A}$-module maps*

$$\psi^{k,-k} : E^l|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} \to F_{i_0}^{l-k}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}}$$

which satisfy the following two conditions:

1. *For each i, the map $\psi^{0,0} : E^l|_{U_i} \to F_i^l$ has its image in $\ker b_i^{0,1} \subset F_i^l$ and is surjective modulo F_i^{l-1} in the same sense as Condition 1 in Lemma 3.3.*
2. *On each $U_{i_0\dots i_k}$ the ψ's satisfy the equation*

$$\delta\psi^{k-1,1-k} + (-1)^k \psi^{k-1,1-k}_{i_0\dots i_{k-1}}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} + \sum_{p=0}^{k} b^{p,1-p}\,\psi^{k-p,p-k} = 0 \tag{15}$$

Proof. First by Corollary A.5 we know that $\ker b_i^{0,1}$ is a locally free finitely generated sub-sheaf of F_i^l. Then similar to the proof of Lemma 3.3, let's first extend $\ker b_i^{0,1}$ on U_i to $\widetilde{\ker b_i^{0,1}}$ on X and define

$$E^l = \bigoplus_i \widetilde{\ker b_i^{0,1}}. \tag{16}$$

The construction of the map $\psi^{k,-k}$ is also similar to the construction of ψ_i in Lemma 3.3. Let $(\widetilde{f_1}, \dots, \widetilde{f_n})$ be a section of E^l, we define (see Equation (14)) $\psi^{k,-k}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{k,-k} : E^l|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} &\to F_{i_0}^{l-k}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} \\ (\widetilde{f_1}, \dots, \widetilde{f_n}) &\mapsto \sum_j b^{k+1,-k}_{i_0\dots i_k j}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In particular

$$\psi^{0,0}(\widetilde{f_1}, \dots, \widetilde{f_n}) = \sum_j b^{1,0}_{ij}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}). \tag{18}$$

We know that $b^{0,1}b^{1,0} + b^{1,0}b^{0,1} = 0$ hence

$$\begin{aligned} b_i^{0,1}\psi^{0,0}(\widetilde{f_1}, \dots, \widetilde{f_n}) &= \sum_j b_i^{0,1} b^{1,0}_{ij}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}) \\ &= -\sum_j b^{1,0}_{ij} b_j^{0,1}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}). \end{aligned}$$

We know each of the $\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}$ belongs to $\ker b_j^{0,1}$ so the right hand side is zero, hence $\psi^{0,0}(\widetilde{f_1}, \dots, \widetilde{f_n}) \in \ker b_i^{0,1}$.

Moreover, $\psi^{0,0}$ is surjective modulo F_i^{l-1} by exactly the same argument as in Lemma 3.3.

Then we need to prove that $\psi^{k,-k}$ satisfies Condition 2. First we notice that on $U_{i_0\dots i_k}$

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta\psi^{k-1,1-k})_{i_0\dots i_k}(\widetilde{f_1}, \dots, \widetilde{f_n}) &= \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (-1)^s \psi^{k-1,1-k}_{i_0\dots \widehat{i_s}\dots i_k}(\widetilde{f_1}, \dots, \widetilde{f_n}) \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} (-1)^s b^{k,1-k}_{i_0\dots \widehat{i_s}\dots i_k j}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(b^{p,1-p}\,\psi^{k-p,p-k})_{i_0\dots i_k}(\widetilde{f_1},\dots,\widetilde{f_n}) = b^{p,1-p}_{i_0\dots i_p}\psi^{k-p,p-k}_{i_p\dots i_k}(\widetilde{f_1},\dots,\widetilde{f_n}) = \sum_j b^{p,1-p}_{i_0\dots i_p} b^{k-p+1,p-k}_{i_p\dots i_k j}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}).$$

Moreover we have

$$\psi^{k-1,1-k}_{i_0\dots i_{k-1}}|_{U_{i_0\cdots i_k}}(\widetilde{f_1},\dots,\widetilde{f_n}) = \sum_j b^{k,1-k}_{i_0\dots i_{k-1}j}(\rho_j\widetilde{f_j}).$$

Sum them up we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\delta\psi^{k-1,1-k} + (-1)^k\psi^{k-1,1-k}_{i_0\dots i_{k-1}}|_{U_{i_0\cdots i_k}} + \sum_{p=0}^{k} b^{p,1-p}\,\psi^{k-p,p-k})(\widetilde{f_1},\dots,\widetilde{f_n}) \\
=&\sum_j \Big(\sum_{s=1}^{k}(-1)^s b^{k,1-k}_{i_0\dots\widehat{i_s}\dots i_k j}(\rho_j\widetilde{f_j}) + \sum_{p=0}^{k} b^{p,1-p}_{i_0\dots i_p} b^{k-p+1,p-k}_{i_p\dots i_k j}(\rho_j\widetilde{f_j})\Big) \qquad (19)\\
=&\sum_j \Big((\delta b^{k,1-k})_{i_0\dots i_k j}(\rho_j\widetilde{f_j}) + \sum_{p=0}^{k} b^{p,1-p}_{i_0\dots i_p} b^{k-p+1,p-k}_{i_p\dots i_k j}(\rho_j\widetilde{f_j})\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(F_i, b^{\bullet,1-\bullet})$ is a twisted complex, we know that on $U_{i_0\dots i_k j}$ we have

$$(\delta b^{k,1-k})_{i_0\dots i_k j} + \sum_{p=0}^{k} b^{p,1-p}_{i_0\dots i_p} b^{k-p+1,p-k}_{i_p\dots i_k j} + b^{k+1,-k}_{i_0\dots i_k j} b^{0,1}_j = 0$$

hence the right hand side of Equation (19) equals to

$$-\sum_j b^{k+1,-k}_{i_0\dots i_k j} b^{0,1}_j(\rho_j\widetilde{f_j}).$$

We remember that by the definition $\rho_j\widetilde{f_j}$ is in $\ker b^{0,1}_j$ hence the above expression vanishes and the maps $\psi^{k,-k}$'s satisfy Condition 2 . □

The proof of Proposition 3.2: We know that each $F_i^\bullet$ is bounded on both directions and we have finitely many $F_i^\bullet$'s. Let n be the largest integer such that there exists a U_i such that $F_i^n \neq 0$.

Now we apply Lemma 3.4 to F_i^n. We notices that it automatically satisfies the condition in Lemma 3.4, as a result we can find a locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules E^n on X together with $\mathcal{A}$-module maps

$$\phi^{k,-k} : E^n|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} \to F^{n-k}_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}}$$

such that ϕ^0_i is surjective to F_i^n modulo F_i^{n-1} (here $b^{0,1}=0$ on F_i^n so $\ker b^{0,1}_i = F_i^n$) and the $\phi^{k,-k}$'s satisfies Equation (3.4). We put $E^k = 0$ for $k > n$.

Then we use downwards induction on the lower bound of $E^\bullet$: Assume we have

1. A cochain complex of locally free finitely generated sheaves of $\mathcal{A}$-modules $E^\bullet$ with lower bound $\geq m+1$ and upper bound n. Let $a^{0,1}$ denote the differentials on the $E^\bullet$'s.

2. For each $m+1 \leq l \leq n$ and any $k \geq 0$ we have $\mathcal{A}$-module maps

$$\phi^{k,-k} : E^l|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} \to F^{l-k}_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}}$$

which are compatible with $b^{\bullet,1-\bullet}$ and $a^{0,1}$ in the sense of Equation (12).

3. The map induced by $\phi^{0,0}$ between cohomologies

$$\phi_i^{0,0} : H^l(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}|_{U_i}) \to H^l(F^\bullet, b_i^{0,1})$$

is an isomorphism for all $l > m+1$ and is surjective for $l = m+1$.

We want to proceed from $m+1$ to m. First we construct the sheaf E^m. For this purpose we temporarily let $E^k = 0$ for all $k \leq m$ and we consider mapping cone $(G^\bullet, c^{\bullet,1-\bullet})$ of the $\phi : \mathcal{T}(E) \to F$. According to Definition 2.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_i^l =& E^{l+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^l \text{ for } l \geq m \\ G_i^l =& F_i^l \text{ for } l < m \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_i^{0,1} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_i^{0,1} & 0 \\ \phi_i^{0,0} & b_i^{0,1} \end{pmatrix} \\ c_{ij}^{1,0} &= \begin{pmatrix} -id_{U_{ij}} & 0 \\ \phi_{ij}^{1,-1} & b_{ij}^{1,0} \end{pmatrix} \\ c_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,1-k} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,-k} & b_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,1-k} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } k > 1. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The complex $(G_i^\bullet, c_i^{0,1})$ is the ordinary mapping cone of the cochain map $\phi_i^{0,0} : E^\bullet|_{U_i} \to F_i^\bullet$. By Assumption 3 above, the map $\phi_i^{0,0}$ induces an isomorphism on cohomology for degree $> m+1$ and is surjective on degree $= m+1$. Hence the cohomology

$$H^p(G_i^\bullet, c_i^{0,1}) = 0 \text{ for } p \geq m+1.$$

Again by Lemma 3.4 there exists a locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules E^m together with $\mathcal{A}$-module maps

$$\psi^{k,-k} : E^m|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} \to G_{i_0}^{m-k}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}}$$

such that

1. For each i, the image of the map $\psi_i^{0,0} : E^m|_{U_i} \to G_i^m$ is contained in $\ker c_i^{0,1} \subset G_i^m = E^{m+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^m$ and $\psi_i^{0,0}$ is onto $\ker c_i^{0,1}$ modulo $G_i^{m-1} = F_i^{m-1}$.
2. On each $U_{i_0\dots i_k}$ the ψ's satisfy the identity

$$(\delta\psi^{k-1,1-k})_{i_0\dots i_k} + (-1)^k \psi_{i_0\dots i_{k-1}}^{k-1,1-k}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} + \sum_{p=0}^{k} c_{i_0\dots i_p}^{p,1-p} \psi_{i_p\dots i_k}^{k-p,p-k} = 0 \tag{22}$$

as maps from $E^m|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}}$ to $G_{i_0}^{m+1-k}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}}$.

Since $G_i^k = F_i^k$ for $k < m$, we can write the ψ's more precisely as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i^{0,0} :& E^m|_{U_i} \to E^{m+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^m \\ \psi_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,-k} :& E^m|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} \to F_{i_0}^{m-k}|_{U_{i_0\dots i_k}} \text{ for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Now let p_E and p_F denote the projection from $E^{m+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^m$ to $E^{m+1}|_{U_i}$ and F_i^m respectively, then we define $a^{0,1} : E^m \to E^{m+1}$ as

$$a^{0,1}|_{U_i} = p_E \circ \psi_i^{0,0}. \tag{24}$$

We need to prove that the $p_E \circ \psi_i^{0,0}$'s on different U_i's actually glue together to get the map $a^{0,1}$. In fact in the proof of Lemma 3.4, Equation (16) tells us

$$E^m = \bigoplus_i \widetilde{\ker c_i^{0,1}} \tag{25}$$

According to Equation (21), the map $c_i^{0,1} : E^{m+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^m \to E^{m+2}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^{m+1}$ is given by

$$c_i^{0,1} = \begin{pmatrix} a_i^{0,1} & 0 \\ \phi_i^{0,0} & b_i^{0,1} \end{pmatrix}$$

hence an element $g_i = (e_i, f_i) \in E^{m+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^m$ is contained in $\ker c_i^{0,1}$ if and only if

$$a_i^{0,1}(e_i) = 0 \text{ and } \phi_i^{0,0}(e_i) = b_i^{0,1}(f_i)$$

Equation (18) tells us for $(\widetilde{g_1}, \dots, \widetilde{g_n}) = ((\widetilde{e_1}, \widetilde{f_1}) \dots (\widetilde{e_n}, \widetilde{f_n})) \in E^m$ we have

$$\psi_i^{0,0}(\widetilde{g_1}, \dots, \widetilde{g_n}) = \sum_j c_{ij}^{1,0}(\rho_j \widetilde{g_j}) = \sum_j c_{ij}^{1,0}(\rho_j \widetilde{e_j}, \rho_j \widetilde{f_j})).$$

Again Equation (21) tell us

$$c_{ij}^{1,0} = \begin{pmatrix} -id_{U_{ij}} & 0 \\ \phi_{ij}^{1,-1} & b_{ij}^{1,0} \end{pmatrix}$$

therefore

$$c_{ij}^{1,0} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_j \widetilde{e_j} \\ \rho_j \widetilde{f_j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\rho_j \widetilde{e_j}|_{U_{ij}} \\ \phi_{ij}^{1,-1}(\rho_j \widetilde{e_j}|_{U_{ij}}) + b_{ij}^{1,0}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}) \end{pmatrix}$$

hence

$$\psi_i^{0,0}(\widetilde{g_1}, \dots, \widetilde{g_n}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_j -\rho_j \widetilde{e_j}|_{U_{ij}} \\ \sum_j \phi_{ij}^{1,-1}(\rho_j \widetilde{e_j}|_{U_{ij}}) + b_{ij}^{1,0}(\rho_j \widetilde{f_j}) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{26}$$

As a result

$$(a^{0,1}|_{U_i})(\widetilde{g_1}, \dots, \widetilde{g_n}) = p_E(\psi_i^{0,0}(\widetilde{g_1}, \dots, \widetilde{g_n})) = -\sum_j \rho_j \widetilde{e_j}|_{U_{ij}} \tag{27}$$

therefore the $a^{0,1}$ on different U_i's can glue together to an $\mathcal{A}$-module map $a^{0,1} : E^m \to E^{m+1}$. Moreover, its image $-\sum \rho_j \widetilde{e_j}$ is in the kernel of $a^{0,1} : E^{m+1} \to E^{m+2}$ hence we have extended the complex $(E^\bullet, a^{0,1})$ to degree $= m$.

Next we define $\phi_{i_0 \dots i_k}^{k,-k} : E^m|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}} \to F_{i_0}^{m-k}|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i^{0,0} &= p_F \circ \psi_i^{0,0} : E^m|_{U_i} \to F_i^m \\ \phi_{i_0 \dots i_k}^{k,-k} &= \psi_{i_0 \dots i_k}^{k,-k} : E^m|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}} \to F_{i_0}^{m-k}|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}} \text{ for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Recall that Equation (22) tells us

$$(\delta \psi^{k-1,1-k})_{i_0 \dots i_k} + (-1)^k \psi_{i_0 \dots i_{k-1}}^{k-1,1-k}|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}} + \sum_{p=0}^{k} c_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{p,1-p} \psi_{i_p \dots i_k}^{k-p,p-k} = 0$$

as maps from $E^m|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}}$ to $G_{i_0}^{m+1-k}|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}}$ and we need to show that the above identity implies

$$(\delta \phi^{k-1,1-k})_{i_0 \dots i_k} + \sum_{p=0}^{k} b_{i_0 \dots i_p}^{p,1-p} \phi_{i_p \dots i_k}^{k-p,p-k} - \phi_{i_0 \dots i_k}^{k,-k} a_{i_k}^{0,1} - \phi_{i_0 \dots i_{k-1}}^{k-1,1-k}|_{U_{i_0 \dots i_k}} = 0. \tag{29}$$

Let us check it for $k=1$. From the definition of the $c^{k,1-k}$'s as in Equation (21) we can easily deduce that

$$c^{k,1-k}\psi^{0,0} = \begin{cases} \phi^{k,-k}a^{0,1} + b^{k,1-k}\phi^{0,0} & \text{if } k \geq 2 \\ (-a^{0,1}, \phi^{1,-1}a^{0,1} + b^{1,0}\phi^{0,0}) & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \phi^{0,0}a^{0,1} + b^{0,1}\phi^{0,0} & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$$

In the $k=1$ case we know that $\delta\psi^{0,0}$ and $\delta\phi^{0,0}$ are automatically zero hence Equation (22) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & c^{0,1}_{i_0}\psi^{1,-1}_{i_0i_1} + c^{1,0}_{i_0}\psi^{0,0}_{i_0i_1} - \psi^{0,0}_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0i_1}} \\ =&(0, b^{0,1}_{i_0}\phi^{1,-1}_{i_0i_1}) + (-a^{0,1}, b^{1,0}_{i_0i_1}\phi^{0,0}_{i_1} + \phi^{1,-1}_{i_0i_1}a^{0,1}) - (-a^{0,1}, \phi^{0,0}_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0i_1}}) \\ =&(0, b^{0,1}_{i_0}\phi^{1,-1}_{i_0i_1} + b^{1,0}_{i_0i_1}\phi^{0,0}_{i_1} + \phi^{1,-1}_{i_0i_1}a^{0,1} - \phi^{0,0}_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0i_1}}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$b^{0,1}_{i_0}\phi^{1,-1}_{i_0i_1} + b^{1,0}_{i_0i_1}\phi^{0,0}_{i_1} + \phi^{1,-1}_{i_0i_1}a^{0,1} - \phi^{0,0}_{i_0}|_{U_{i_0i_1}} = 0$$

which is exactly Equation (29) in the case $k=1$. The $k=0$ and $k \geq 2$ cases are similar.

We also need to show that after we introduce E^m, the map

$$\phi^{0,0}_i : H^{m+1}(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}|_{U_i}) \to H^{m+1}(F^\bullet, b^{0,1}_i)$$

becomes an isomorphism and

$$\phi^{0,0}_i : H^{m}(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}|_{U_i}) \to H^{m}(F^\bullet, b^{0,1}_i)$$

is surjective.

We already know that in degree $m+1$ the induced map is surjective. Moreover by Lemma 3.4 we know that $\psi^{0,0}_i : E^m \to \ker c^{0,1}_i \subset E^{m+1} \oplus F^m_i$ is surjective. In more details if $(e^{m+1}, f^m) \in E^{m+1} \oplus F^m_i$ such that

$$a^{0,1}_i(e^{m+1}) = 0 \text{ and } \phi^{0,0}_i(e^{m+1}) = b^{0,1}_i(f^m)$$

then there exists an e^m and an f^{m-1} such that $\psi^{0,0}_i(e^m) = (e^{m+1}, f^m) + (0, b^{0,1}_i(f^{m-1}))$. We remember that by definition $a^{0,1} = p_E\psi^{0,0}_i$ and $\phi^{0,0}_i = p_F\psi^{0,0}_i$ hence we get

$$a^{0,1}e^m = e^{m+1}\phi^{0,0}_i(e^m) = f^m + b^{0,1}_i(f^{m-1}). \tag{30}$$

and

$$a^{0,1}e^m = e^{m+1}\phi^{0,0}_i(e^m) = f^m + b^{0,1}_i(f^{m-1}). \tag{31}$$

Equation (30) implies

$$\phi^{0,0}_i : H^{m+1}(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}|_{U_i}) \to H^{m+1}(F^\bullet, b^{0,1}_i)$$

is also injective and hence an isomorphism. To prove $\phi^{0,0}_i : H^m(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}|_{U_i}) \to H^m(F^\bullet, b^{0,1}_i)$ is surjective, we consider the special case that $e^{m+1} = 0$ and $b^{0,1}_i(f^m) = \phi^{0,0}_i(e^{m+1}) = 0$. In this case Equation (31) tells us the map

$$\phi^{0,0}_i : H^{m}(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}|_{U_i}) \to H^{m}(F^\bullet, b^{0,1}_i)$$

is surjective. Now we have proved that $(E^\bullet, a^{0,1})$ satisfies the induction assumption 1, 2 and 3 at degree m.

Lastly we need to show that the induction steps eventually stops at some degree. Let m_0 be the smallest integer such that $F^{m_0}_i \neq 0$ for some i. By downward induction we get a complex $(E^l, a^{0,1})$, $m_0+1 \leq l \leq n$ and maps $\phi^{k,-k} : E \to F$ which is compatible with the a's and b's and

$$\phi^{0,0}_i : H^{l}(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1}|_{U_i}) \to H^{l}(F^\bullet, b^{0,1}_i)$$

is an isomorphism for $l > m_0 + 1$ and is surjective for $l = m_0 + 1$.

Now we need to construct E^{m_0} and $\psi_i^{0,0} : E^{m_0}|_{U_i} \to E^{m_0+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^{m_0}$ in a slightly different way. For this we use the map

$$c_i^{0,1} : E^{m_0+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^{m_0} \to E^{m_0+2}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^{m_0+1}$$

and we get $\ker c_i^{0,1}$ which is a locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on U_i by Corollary A.5.

Now we want to show that $\ker c_i^{0,1}$ can glue together to get a locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on X. In fact the map $c_{ij}^{1,0}$'s satisfy $c_{ik}^{1,0} - c_{ij}^{1,0} c_{jk}^{1,0} + c_{ijk}^{2,-1} c_k^{0,1} - c_i^{0,1} c_{ijk}^{2,-1} = 0$. Now we are considering $\ker c_j^{0,1}$ and $F_i^{m_0-1} = 0$ hence the last two term vanish and we have

$$c_{ik}^{1,0} - c_{ij}^{1,0} c_{jk}^{1,0} = 0 \text{ on } \ker c_k^{0,1}.$$

In other words the maps $c_{ij}^{1,0}$'s give us transition function from $\ker c_j^{0,1}$ to $\ker c_i^{0,1}$ and they satisfy the cocycle condition.

Therefore we can define the resulting locally free finitely generated sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules by E^{m_0}. In particular we have

$$E^{m_0}|_{U_i} = \ker c_i^{0,1} \subset E^{m_0+1}|_{U_i} \oplus F_i^{m_0}.$$

Moreover we define $a^{0,1} : E^{m_0} \to E^{m_0+1}$ and $\phi_i^{0,0} : E^{m_0}|_{U_i} \to F_i^{m_0}$ be the projection map onto the first and second component respectively, and the $\phi^{k,-k}$'s are all zero for $k \geq 1$. It is easy to see that $\phi_i^{0,0}$ induces an isomorphism from $H^{m_0}(E^\bullet|_{U_i}, a^{0,1})$ to $H^{m_0}(F_i^\bullet, b^{0,1})$ and we finished the proof.

□

Remark 4. The proof of Proposition 3.2 is inspired by the proof of Lemma 1.9.5 in [TT90].

3.3 The quasi-fully faithfulness of $\mathcal{T}$

In this subsection we prove that $\mathcal{T}$ is quasi-fully faithful. The dg-functor

$$\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{Tw}_{\mathrm{perf}}(X)$$

gives a map between complexes of morphisms

$$\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})(E^\bullet, F^\bullet) \to \mathrm{Tw}_{\mathrm{perf}}(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet)) \tag{32}$$

where for a morphism $\varphi : E^\bullet \to F^\bullet$ of degree n, its image $\mathcal{T}(\varphi)$ is an element of total degree n in $C^\bullet(\mathcal{U}, \mathrm{Hom}^\bullet(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet)))$ given by

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi)_i^{0,n} = \varphi|_{U_i}$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}(\varphi)^{k,n-k} = 0, \ \forall\, k \geq 1.$$

Proposition 3.5 (Quasi-fully faithfulness)**.** *As in Proposition 3.2, let X is a paracompact space with a soft structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover if the open cover U_i is finite and good, then the morphism $\mathcal{T}$ in Equation (32) is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes.*

The proof of Proposition 3.5

First we need to get a more explicit description of the differentials in the complex $\mathrm{Tw}(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet))$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\phi^{p,q}$ be an element in $Tw^{p,q}(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet))$. We can write $d\phi^{p,q}$ as*

$$d\phi^{p,q} = \hat{\delta}\phi^{p,q} + (-1)^p \hat{d}\phi^{p,q} \tag{33}$$

where $\hat{\delta}\phi^{p,q}$ is of degree $(p+1, q)$ and is given by

$$(\hat{\delta}\phi^{p,q})_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p+1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{p+1} (-1)^k \phi^{p,q}_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_k} \dots i_{p+1}} |_{U_{i_0 i_1 \dots i_{p+1}}}$$

and $\hat{d}\phi^{p,q}$ is of degree $(p, q+1)$ and is given by

$$\hat{d}\phi^{p,q} = d_F \circ \phi^{p,q} - (-1)^q \phi^{p,q} \circ d_E.$$

Proof. We know that in general the differential is given in Equation (9) by

$$d\phi = \delta\phi + b \cdot \phi - (-1)^{|\phi|} \phi \cdot a.$$

Now by the very definition of $\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet)$ and $\mathcal{T}(F^\bullet)$ we know that

$$a_i^{0,1} = d_E|_{U_i},\ a_{ij}^{1,0} = id_E|_{U_{ij}},\ a^{k,1-k} = 0,\ \forall k \geq 2$$

and

$$b_i^{0,1} = d_F|_{U_i},\ b_{ij}^{1,0} = id_F|_{U_{ij}},\ b^{k,1-k} = 0,\ \forall k \geq 2$$

therefore

$$d\phi^{p,q} = \delta\phi^{p,q} + b^{0,1} \cdot \phi^{p,q} + b^{1,0} \cdot \phi^{p,q} - (-1)^{p+q} \phi^{p,q} \cdot a^{0,1} - (-1)^{p+q} \phi^{p,q} \cdot a^{1,0}$$

and we get the result we want. □

Then we can proceed to the proof. First we have the following proposition

Proposition 3.7. *The map $\mathcal{T} : \mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})(E^\bullet, F^\bullet) \to Tw_{perf}(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet))$ is surjective on the level of cohomology. In other words, for any closed element ϕ in $Tw(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet))$, there exists a closed element $\widetilde{\phi}$ in $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})(E^\bullet, F^\bullet)$ and an element φ in $Tw(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet))$ such that*

$$\phi - \mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi}) = d\varphi.$$

Proof. Let n be the total degree of ϕ. By Equation (33) we have

$$d\phi^{k,n-k} = \hat{\delta}\phi^{k,n-k} + (-1)^k \hat{d}\phi^{k,n-k},\ \forall\ k \geq 0.$$

ϕ is closed implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}\phi^{k,n-k} + (-1)^{k+1} \hat{d}\phi^{k+1,n-k-1} &= 0,\ \forall\ k \geq 0 \\ \hat{d}\phi^{0,n} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We know that $\phi^{0,n}$ consists of pieces $\phi_i^{0,n}$ on U_i. Using the partition of unity ρ_i we define $\widetilde{\phi}$ as

$$\widetilde{\phi} := \sum_i \rho_i \phi_i^{0,n}. \tag{35}$$

It is obvious that $\widetilde{\phi}$ is a globally defined degree n map from $E^\bullet$ to $F^\bullet$. Moreover $\widetilde{\phi}$ is closed since we have $\hat{d}\phi^{0,n} = 0$ hence

$$d_F \circ \widetilde{\phi} - (-1)^n \widetilde{\phi} \circ d_E = \sum_i \rho_i \hat{d}\phi_i^{0,n} = 0.$$

.

We still need to show that $\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi})$ and ϕ are cohomologous. For this we define φ with total degree $n-1$ by

$$\varphi_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,n-1-k} := \sum_j \rho_j \phi_{ji_0\dots i_k}^{k+1,n-1-k}. \tag{36}$$

Let us check that $\phi - \mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi}) = d\varphi$ in each degree. First in degree $(0,n)$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi - \mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi})]_i^{0,n} =& \phi_i^{0,n} - \sum_j \rho_j \phi_j^{0,n}|_{U_i} \\
=& \sum_j \rho_j \phi_i^{0,n} - \sum_j \rho_j \phi_j^{0,n}|_{U_i} \\
=& \sum_j \rho_j (\hat{\delta}\phi^{0,n})_{ji}.
\end{aligned}$$

Equation (34) tells us that $(\hat{\delta}\phi^{0,n})_{ji} = \hat{d}\phi_{ji}^{1,n-1}$ therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi - \mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi})]_i^{0,n} =& \sum_j \rho_j \hat{d}\phi_{ji}^{1,n-1} \\
=& \hat{d} \sum_j \rho_j \phi_{ji}^{1,n-1} \\
=& \hat{d}\varphi_i^{0,n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

For degree $(k, n-k)$, $k \geq 1$, since $\mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi})^{k,n-k} = 0$ it is clear that

$$[\phi - \mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi})]_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,n-k} = \phi_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,n-k}.$$

On the other hand Equation (33) tells us

$$(d\varphi)_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,n-k} = (\hat{\delta}\varphi^{k-1,n-k})_{i_0\dots i_k} + (-1)^k (\hat{d}\varphi^{k,n-k-1})_{i_0\dots i_k}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(\hat{\delta}\varphi^{k-1,n-k})_{i_0\dots i_k} =& \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \varphi_{i_0\dots \widehat{i_s}\dots i_k}^{k-1,n-k} \\
=& \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \sum_j \rho_j \phi_{ji_0\dots \widehat{i_s}\dots i_k}^{k,n-k} \\
=& \sum_j \rho_j \sum_{s=0}^{k} (-1)^s \phi_{ji_0\dots \widehat{i_s}\dots i_k}^{k,n-k} \\
=& \sum_j \rho_j [-(\hat{\delta}\phi^{k,n-k})_{ji_0\dots i_k} + \phi_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,n-k}] \\
=& -\sum_j \rho_j (\hat{\delta}\phi^{k,n-k})_{ji_0\dots i_k} + \sum_j \rho_j \phi_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,n-k} \\
=& -\sum_j \rho_j (\hat{\delta}\phi^{k,n-k})_{ji_0\dots i_k} + \phi_{i_0\dots i_k}^{k,n-k}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{d}\varphi^{k,n-k-1}_{i_0\dots i_k} = \sum_j \rho_j \hat{d}\phi^{k+1,n-k-1}_{ji_0\dots i_k}.$$

Recall that ϕ is closed hence $\hat{\delta}\phi^{k,n-k} + (-1)^k \hat{d}\phi^{k+1,n-k-1} = 0$, therefore we get cancellations and

$$(d\varphi)^{k,n-k}_{i_0\dots i_k} = \phi^{k,n-k}_{i_0\dots i_k}$$

hence we get

$$[\phi - \mathcal{T}(\widetilde{\phi})]^{k,n-k}_{i_0\dots i_k} = (d\varphi)^{k,n-k}_{i_0\dots i_k}.$$

□

Next we have the following proposition, which gives the injectivity of $\mathcal{T}$.

Proposition 3.8. *If $\mathcal{T}(\phi)$ is a coboundary in $\mathrm{Tw}(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet))$, then ϕ is a coboundary in $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})(E^\bullet, F^\bullet)$.*

Proof. Let the total degree of ϕ be n. Let φ be an element with total degree $n-1$ in $\mathrm{Tw}(X)(\mathcal{T}(E^\bullet), \mathcal{T}(F^\bullet))$ such that

$$\mathcal{T}(\phi) = d\varphi.$$

Define $\widetilde{\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{L}(X, \mathcal{A})(E^\bullet, F^\bullet)$ as

$$\widetilde{\varphi} = \sum_j \rho_j \varphi^{0,n-1}_j$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.5, we could check that

$$\phi = d\widetilde{\varphi}.$$

□

Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.8 together give Proposition 3.5.

4 Twisted perfect complex and homotopy limit

In this section we consider $\mathfrak{L}$ as a presheaf of dg-categories: for any open subset $U \subset X$, $\mathfrak{L}(U)$ is the dg-category of bounded complexes of locally free sheaves on U. For an open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of X, its *Čech nerve* is a simplicial space

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \coprod U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k \longrightarrow \coprod U_i \cap U_j \longrightarrow \coprod U_i.$$

We consider the locally free sheaves on the spaces and get the following cosimplicial diagram of dg-categories

$$\prod \mathfrak{L}(U_i) \longrightarrow \prod \mathfrak{L}(U_i \cap U_j) \longrightarrow \prod \mathfrak{L}(U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{37}$$

In general descent theory, the descent data of $\mathfrak{L}(U_i)$ is given by the *homotopy limit* of the cosimplicial diagram (37).

In our case we have an explicit construction of the homotopy limit.

Theorem 4.1. *If the open cover $\{U_i\}$ of X is good, then the dg-category of twisted complexes on X, $\mathrm{Tw}_{perf}(X)$ is quasi-equivalent to the homotopy limit of $\mathfrak{L}(U_I)$.*

Proof. See [BHW15]. □

With Theorem 4.1, we can interpret the result of Theorem 3.1 as follows

Theorem 4.2. *Let X is a paracompact space with a soft structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. Moreover if the open cover U_i is finite and good, then we have a quasi-equivalence between dg-categories*

$$\mathfrak{L}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} holim_I \mathfrak{L}(U_I)$$

At the end of this paper we should point out that it is important to generalize the result in Theorem 3.1 from covers to *hypercovers*.

Conjecture 4.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a compact ringed space with soft structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$. Then for any hypercover $U_\bullet$ of X, the natural functor*

$$\mathfrak{L}(X) \to ho \lim_{U_\bullet} \mathfrak{L}(U_\bullet)$$

is a quasi-equivalence.

Let us briefly talk about the significance of Conjecture 4.3. We consider dgCat-$Pr(X)$, the category of presheaves valued in dg-categories and we have the local model structure on dgCat-$Pr(X)$, see [Hol14]. In this case we have the following result.

Proposition 4.4 (Lemma 2.9 in [Hol14])**.** *If $\mathcal{F} \in$ dgCat-$Pr(X)$ is both objectwise fibrant and satisfies descent for any hypercover, then $\mathcal{F}$ is an fibrant object for the local model structure on dgCat-$Pr(X)$.*

Remark 5. According to Remark 2.13 in [Hol14], we do not have the "if and only if" result in Proposition 4.4 mainly because the category dgCat does not have a symmetric monoidal model structure.

With Proposition 4.4 in hand, if Conjecture 4.3 is true, then the presheaf $\mathfrak{L}$ is a fibrant object in the category of presheaves of dg-categories.

A Some generalities of soft sheaves

We collect here some results in sheaf theory which is necessary for our use, for reference see [Bre97] Chapter I and II.

Definition A.1. A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a topological space X is called *soft* if any section over any closed subset of X can be extended to a global section. In other words, for any closed subset $K \subset X$, the restriction map $\mathcal{F}(X) \to \mathcal{F}(K)$ is surjective.

We also have the concept of fine sheaf, which is related to soft sheaf.

Definition A.2. Let X be a paracompact space. A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of groups over X is *fine* if for every two disjoint closed subsets $A, B \subset X, A \cap B = \emptyset$, there is an endomorphism of the sheaf of groups $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$ which restricts to the identity in a neighborhood of A and to the 0 endomorphism in a neighborhood of B.

Every fine sheaf is soft but in general not every soft sheaf is fine. However we have the following proposition:

Proposition A.1. *Let X be a paracompact space and $\mathcal{A}$ a soft sheaf of rings with unit on X. Then any sheaf of $\mathcal{A}$-modules is fine. In particular $\mathcal{A}$-itself is fine.*

Proof. See [Bre97] Theorem 9.16. □

Example 1. Let X be a paracompact Hausdorff topological space, then the sheaf of continuous functions on X is soft and hence fine.

Moreover, let X be a Hausdorff smooth manifold, then the sheaf of C^∞-functions on X is soft and hence fine.

We have the following properties for soft sheaf of rings:

Lemma A.2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a paracompact space such that the structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is soft, then for any locally finite open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ of X, there exists a partition of unity subordinate to the open cover U_i, i.e. there exists sections ρ_i of $\mathcal{A}$ such that supp$\rho_i \subset U_i$ and $\sum_i \rho_i = 1$.*

Proof. We know that $\mathcal{A}$ is soft hence fine. Therefore it is obvious. □

Lemma A.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a paracompact space such that the structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is soft. Let U be a contractible open subset of X, then any finite rank locally free sheaf on U could be extended to a finite rank locally free sheaf on X.*

Proof. Since U is contractible, we know that any finite rank locally free sheaf on U is trivializable and can be extended to X. □

We also have the following useful lemma which is not limited to modules of soft sheaves:

Lemma A.4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a paracompact space such that the structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is soft, $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are two locally free finite generated sheaves of $\mathcal{A}$-modules and π is an $\mathcal{A}$-module map between them. If π is surjective, then $\ker \pi$ is a locally free finite generated sub-sheaf of $\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. It is a standard result in sheaf theory. □

Corollary A.5. *Let $(X, \mathcal{A})$ be a paracompact space such that the structure sheaf $\mathcal{A}$ is soft. Let $(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, d^\bullet)$ be a bounded above cochain complex of locally free finite generated sheaves of $\mathcal{A}$-modules on X. If l is an integer such that the cohomology $H^n(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, d^\bullet) = 0$ for all $n > l$, then for any $n \geq l$, $\ker d^n$ is a locally free finitely generated sub-sheaf of $\mathcal{F}^n$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is bounded above, it could be easily proved by downward induction and repeatedly applying Lemma A.4. □

References

[BGI66] P Berthelot, A Grothendieck, and L Illusie, *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique: Théorie des intersections et théorème de riemann-roch*, Lecture Notes in Math **225** (1966).

[BHW15] Jonathan Block, Julian VS Holstein, and Zhaoting Wei, *Explicit homotopy limits of dg-categories and twisted complexes*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.08659 (2015).

[Blo10] Jonathan Block, *Duality and equivalence of module categories in noncommutative geometry*, A celebration of the mathematical legacy of Raoul Bott, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 50, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010, pp. 311–339. MR 2648899

[Bre97] Glen E. Bredon, *Sheaf theory*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 170, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR 1481706

[Hol14] Julian Victor Sebastian Holstein, *Morita cohomology*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 2014.

[OTT81] Nigel R. O'Brian, Domingo Toledo, and Yue Lin L. Tong, *The trace map and characteristic classes for coherent sheaves*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 2, 225–252. MR 610475

[OTT85] _____, *A Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula for maps of complex manifolds*, Math. Ann. **271** (1985), no. 4, 493–526. MR 790113

[TT78] Domingo Toledo and Yue Lin L. Tong, *Duality and intersection theory in complex manifolds. I*, Math. Ann. **237** (1978), no. 1, 41–77. MR 506654

[TT90] R. W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K-theory of schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III, Progr. Math., vol. 88, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 247–435. MR 1106918

[Wei16] Zhaoting Wei, *Twisted complexes on a ringed space as a dg-enhancement of the derived category of perfect complexes*, European Journal of Mathematics (2016), 1–44.